

**GWENT POLICE AUTHORITY**  
**FULL AUTHORITY**

**Date:** 5 February 2010

**Item No:** 8d

**Heading:** Network Infrastructure

**Report Author:** Chief Constable

---

**SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to seek approval to award a framework agreement for Network Infrastructure.

---

**RECOMMENDATION(S)**

1. The framework is awarded under Gwent Police Authority's Standing Orders Part 2 Item 11.1(b) (Most Economically Advantageous Tender).
  2. The duration of the framework agreement shall be of two years commencing 19 February 2010 with an option for a further two annual extensions.
  3. The framework is awarded to four tenderers in accordance with the ranking shown below, this would provide greater resilience should other local authorities utilise the framework.
- 

**BACKGROUND**

- 1.1 A full procurement process was undertaken in accordance with Directive 2004/18/EC. Thirteen companies were invited to tender and prior to the tender return date five companies declined to tender. Four tenders were received.
- 1.2 The tendering procedure was undertaken using Gwent Police Authority Standing Orders Part 2 Item 6 (normal tendering procedure).
- 1.3 Collaboration has taken place between Gwent Police Authority and Torfaen County Borough Council; the requirements of both parties were included in the tender document. The framework is also available for local unitary authorities (within South-East Wales), Police Forces and other Emergency Services to utilise.

**PROPOSAL FOR CONSIDERATION BY COMMITTEE/CURRENT POSITION**

- 2.1 The evaluation panel consisted of Infrastructure Services Manager (Gwent Police), Network Manager (Gwent Police) and T/Procurement Officer.

2.2 The evaluation criteria used were design 20%, supply, installation and configuration 20%, support & maintenance 20%, rates and charges 25%, delivery 15%

2.3 Thirteen companies were invited to tender, prior to the return date five companies declined to tender. Four responses were received by the closing date.

2.4 The results of the evaluation are shown in the table below:

<b>Tenderer</b>	<b>Design (20%) Score</b>	<b>Rates &amp; Charge (25%) Score</b>	<b>Supply, Installation, Configure (20%) Score</b>	<b>Delivery (20%) Score</b>	<b>Support &amp; Maintenance (20%)</b>	<b>Total Score</b>
<b>A</b>	16.21	10.6	11.43	6.0	14.81	<b>59.05</b>
<b>B</b>	16.98	15.4	12.08	6.0	16.07	<b>66.53</b>
<b>C</b>	15.23	12.2	13.71	9.0	13.93	<b>64.07</b>
<b>D</b>	16.35	11.8	12.65	3.0	15.33	<b>59.13</b>

2.5 To ensure value for money and best value is obtained for participating authorities the tenderers will be internally ranked in the following order

- 1) Tenderer B
- 2) Tenderer C
- 3) Tenderer D
- 4) Tenderer A

### **STAFFING/PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS**

3. There are no staffing/personnel implications.

### **FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

4. Funds are included in the 2009/10 revenue budget.

### **CONSULTATION**

5. Consultation has taken place with the ICT department.

## **PROJECT ASSESSMENT FOR EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MATTERS**

6. The content of this report has been considered against the general duty to promote equality, as stipulated under the Police Authority's Single Equality Scheme, and has been assessed not to discriminate against any particular group.

## **RISK ASSESSMENT**

- 7 Without the benefit of the framework the Authority would be unable to acquire the equipment required to support the 2011 review initiatives.

## **STAYING AHEAD (2011 REVIEW)**

8. The report assumes that the requirement for the network infrastructure will continue in the future, irrespective of the outcome of the 2011 review.

## **CONCLUSION**

9. The framework is awarded to five companies for two years with an option to extend for two annual extensions, to be reviewed annually.

## **CONTACT OFFICER**

10. Paula Corfield - T/Procurement Officer.

## **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

11. None.

## **APPENDICES**

12. None.